



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATT RNEY DOCKET N .
8/813323	03/10/97	BALTIMORE	D	50659/JPW/JM

HM22/1114 JOHN P WHITE COOPER AND DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036

EXAMINER		
DAVIS, M		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1642	21	
ATE MAILED:	11/14/00	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

·	ADVISORY ACTION			
A THE PERIOD FOR RESPONS	E:			
is extended to run	from the date of the Final Rejection			
continues to run	from the date of the Final Rejection			
	om the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In r latutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
fee. The date on which t purposes of determining	nust be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriative response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CF orm the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.			
Appellant's Brief is due in acc	ordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Applicant's response to the place the application in cond	final rejection, filed 61/22/50 , has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed t ition for allowance:			
1. The proposed amendmen	ts to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:			
 a. There is no convipresented. 	ncing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlied			
b. They raise new iss	ues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).			
c. They raise the issu	e of new matter. (See Note).			
d. They are not deer appeal.	med to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
e. They present addit	ional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:	attached			
Newly proposed or amen non-allowable claims.	ded claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling th			
application would be as fo				
Allowed claims: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However:	Mare			
a. The rejection of cla	on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.			
4. The affidavit, exhibit or re	equest for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.			
5. The affidavit or exhibit w presented.	ill not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlie			
☐ The proposed drawing correct	ction 🔲 has 🗋 has not been approved by the examiner.			
Other	1			
Ju 1	Attribuel / (
•	Misa Ja			
	SUSAN INGAD DU D			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 08/813323

Art Unit: 1642

Effective February 7, 1998, the Group Art Unit location has been changed, and the examiner of the application has been changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Minh-Tam Davis, Group Art Unit 1642.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Accordingly, claims 3, 4 and 92 are being examined.

Claim 92 and dependent claims 3 and 4 will not be entered, because the amendment of claim 92 adds new matter, and raises new issues not previousl considered which requires additional searches. That is although the specification discloses a protein that is truncated from amino acid position 323 to 414 at the amino terminus, the specification does not disclose, nor contemplates a truncation at the specific amino acid number 385. Further, the newly recited positions number 567 and 385 require further consideration and searches.

If the amendment were to be entered, claims 3, 4 and 92 would remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Satao et al. The protein comprising amino acids 363-543 taught by Sato et al is the same as the claimed protein, and meets all the limitation of the claims, because of the language "comprises" of claim 92, which reads on any sequence of any length, provided it contains the claimed sequence.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Tam B. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am to 3:30pm, except on Wesnesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4227.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0916.

Minh-Tam B. Davis

November 6, 2000

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINED